



Banner Page

Document ID:
Investigation: DUNPHY – 2015-376186

Document Title

Doc Description

How Received

When Received

Date

Document Type:

Document Summary:

Media E-mails, Sgt. Hicks

This is a copy of a document already in the system

POC Content

Secured for Hold Back or Disclosure Issues

Vetting

Submitted/Recommended/Approved By

Date 2016-01-12

Number ID	Category	Reason
20	T	
HICKS, GREG	P	

Greg Hicks - Fwd: Media suggestions

From: Jamie Zettler
To: Greg Hicks; Jamie Zettler
Date: 2015/04/07 9:00 AM
Subject: Fwd: Media suggestions

Greg,

For your review word smithing and then after the briefing we will update you on the results that we have to fill in the blank.

Thanks

Jamie

J. Zettler, Supt.
District Policing Officer East
B Division

>>> Kent Osmond 2015/04/06 8:07 PM >>>

As discussed.....if we want to put out more detail, we can go with something along these lines.or we can wait until after the autopsy and comment on that as well.

Please advise your thoughts

On Friday, April 3rd, a Royal Newfoundland Constabulary member assigned to the Premier's Protective Detail was advised of the existence of a 'tweet of concern' which was seemingly directed towards provincial politicians. On Sunday, April 5th the Royal Newfoundland Constabulary Officer attended a residence in Mitchell's Brook, near Mount Carmel, NL, to investigate the matter. The purpose of the visit was to place some context around the tweet so that an assessment could be made.

The Royal Newfoundland Constabulary Officer conducted a personal safety threat assessment before and during this duty, and determined the risk to be low. The Holyrood detachment of the RCMP was contacted and offered assistance to the Royal Newfoundland Constabulary Officer based on his risk assessment. However risk assessments are an ongoing event and can change quickly.

The RCMP initial investigation indicates that once inside the residence, the deceased became agitated and while the Royal Newfoundland Constabulary Officer was recording information he observed the male moving, as he turned he observed the male raising a long barrel firearm towards him. The RNC Officer engaged the male with his service pistol as he repositioned himself in the residence. The male was hit by XXXXX shots and died immediately at the scene.

It has been determined that the Officer fired XXXX times at the male.

An examination of the scene has located 22 caliber rifle on the floor next to the male. The rifle was seized and during examination it was determined to be loaded. The firearm was not registered to the deceased.

This matter remains under investigation by the RCMP Major Crime Unit.

Q1 Did the RNC officer identify himself?

Yes, the RNC Officer had identified himself upon arrival at the residence and was invited into the house by the deceased.

Q2 Why did the RNC Officer go to the residence on Easter Sunday when the tweet was received on Good Friday?

It took until late Saturday for the identity of the person who posted the tweet to be established and then their address to be determined.

Q3 Why did the RCMP not go with the RNC officer?

This was offered however base on the risk assessment by the RNC Officer he did not believe it was necessary. This is not an unusual practices. The two police forces often call and advise that they will be going into each other jurisdiction to do follow up inquiries. Risk assessments will determine what assistance may be needed or not.

Q4 What was the male mental health status?

I can not answer that question at this time,. The investigation is ongoing and this will form part of the profile of the deceased.

Q5 Was there a marihuanna grow operation at the residence?

Yes, this was not a factor associated to the police attendance to the residence. The male had a permit through Health Canada and once again this will be part of the ongoing investigation.

Q6 Was the male under any influence of drugs or alcohol?

The toxicology results from the autopsy will take some time to be determined.