

Document ID:

| Investigation: DUNPHY – 2015-376186 | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|---------|---------------------|--|
| Document Title | Doc Desc | ription | | |
| How Received | When Received | Date | | |
| Document Type: | | | | |
| Document Summary: | | | | |
| | ocument already in the sy | rstem | POC Content Vetting | |
| Submitted/Recommended/A Date 2016-01-12 | | | v Guing | |
| Number ID | Category | | Reason | |
| 20 | Т | | | |
| HICKS, GREG | P | | | |
| | | | | |

CIDDD Exhibit P-0568

Page 2

From:

Pat Cahill

To:

Boland, Andrew, Osmond, Kent, Hicks, Greg, Zettler, Jamie

Date:

2015/04/06 8:54 PM

Subject:

Re: Media suggestions

Kent/ Greg:

This is good detail, and will be complemented with the autopsy results tomorrow.

Speaking of the power of social media as I mentioned to Jamie there is a video circulating on FB out of a Yellowknife, a call for service in which one of our own attended. Over 100k in views. Sounds familiar.

have a good night all.

Pat

Pat

-----Original Message-----

From: Andrew Boland

To: Osmond, Kent < Kent. Osmond@r

To: Hicks, Greg < Greg. Hicks@

To: Zettler, Jamie <jamie.zettler@

To: Cahill, Pat <Pat.Cahill

Sent: 04/06/2015 19:05:15 Subject: Re: Media suggestions

Good plan to go after the autopsy now that we have todays out.

Please go ahead an plan as comprehensive a release as we can do.

>>> Greg Hicks 06/04/2015 7:03:28 PM >>>

Thanks Kent.

I haven't had a media inquiry for three hours. Last one was with the Telegram for the morning paper.

I will put something together first thing based on what you have sent us, and we can decide in the morning if we want to get this info out early, or as suggested by Supt Zettler, after the autopsy. Either way works for me. I have to start my day in Harbour Grace, but will be available.

Greg

-----Original Message-----

From: Kent Osmond

To: Boland, Andrew < Andrew. Boland

To: Hicks, Greg < Greg. Hicks

To: Zettler, Jamie <jamie.zettler

To: Cahill, Pat <Pat.Cahill

Sent: 4/6/2015 6:37:04 PM Subject: Media suggestions

As discussed.....if we want to put out more detail, we can go with something along these lines.or we can wait until after the autopsy and comment on that as well.

Please advise your thoughts

On Friday, April 3rd, a Royal Newfoundland Constabulary member assigned to the Premier's Protective Detail was advised of the existence of a 'tweet of concern' which was seemingly directed towards provincial politicians. On Sunday, April 5th the RNC member attended a residence in Mitchell's Brook, near Mount Carmel, NL, to investigate the matter. The purpose of the visit was to place some context around the comment so that an assessment could be made.

The RNC member conducted a personal safety threat assessment before and during this duty, and determined the risk to be low. However, risk assessments are an ongoing event and can change quickly.

The RCMP initial investigation indicates that once inside the residence, there was a dramatic shift in that risk assessment. Despite identifying himself as a police officer and being invited into the residence, the RNC member was faced with a person who now possessed a loaded firearm. In response to this threat, the RNC member reacted with lethal force.

This matter remains under investigation, and will be advanced by way of an autopsy which is scheduled for tomorrow morning. The results of that autopsy, and other investigative actions being pursued, will assist investigators in best determining what occurred inside the residence.