



Banner Page

Document ID:

Investigation: DUNPHY – 2015-376186

Document Title

Doc Description

How Received

When Received

Date

Document Type:

Document Summary:

Email from John GALWAY.

☐

This is a copy of a document already in the system

POC Content

☐☐

Secured for Hold Back or Disclosure Issues

Vetting

☐

Submitted/Recommended/Approved By

Date 2015-12-01

| Number ID | Category | Reason |
|--------------|----------|--------|
| 77 | T | |
| GALWAY, John | P | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

John Galway - Re: Glasses

TASK # 077

From: John Galway
To: Osmond, Kent
Date: 2015/04/27 2:40 PM
Subject: Re: Glasses

Hey Kent,

When I went into the house, I was directed to the glasses, which were on the table. I picked them up, wearing latex gloves, as usual, by the end of one of the ear pieces. I brought them out to the car, where they were placed in a bag. They were not exposed to any elements, as it was a fine day. They were not compromised, in any way.

No photo was taken because I did not have a camera, as I was not in my usual GIS veh. Given all the fuss, there is no doubt that I wish that I had taken a photo on my BB. I'm fine with that, because there is no photo that can replace my testimony. I know what I saw, where I saw it, and what condition the glasses were in. Meghan and Billy will corroborate this, as well, as they are the ones who showed me the glasses. Billy even pointed out that one of the nose pads was broken off.

These glasses were seized on April 15th, more than a full week after the scene was handed over to Meghan...house was left, unsecured, as of 20:20, April 7th. That means that we had no continuity over these glasses for 8 days. There is no doubt that these glasses were already compromised. We have no idea who handled them, where they had been, and what was done to them. And, we know that people were in the house after April 7th, as the reenactments were done, and the house was cleaned up, as well.

To me, these glasses are a non issue. Photos prove that they were in their usual state, at the time of Mr. DUNPHY's passing. That means they were damaged, sometime, after FIS took these photos. Therefore, it cannot be said that these glasses prove that an altercation may have taken place. It makes zero sense, and the photos prove it.

Given the state of the house, it is quite possible that they were damaged when we were removing Mr. DUNPHY, they could have been damaged during one of the reenactments, or they could have been damaged by whoever else had been in the house.

Anyway, that's my two cents.

If you need anything else, just let me know,

John

Cst. John GALWAY
General Investigation Section
Avalon East District RCMP
P.O. Box 119, 38-40 Salmonier Line,
Holyrood, NL

A0A 2R0

(709) [REDACTED] 892 Phone

(709) [REDACTED] 3311 Fax

>>> Kent Osmond 2015/04/27 11:55 AM >>>

John,

Erin BREEN is accusing us of mishandling the glasses by not taking photos and by not placing the in an exhibit bag when seized. She states that the glasses were taken outdoors and exposed to the elements, thereby potentially compromising them.

Please advise how the glasses were handled and include same in your notes, if not already documented.

Thanks

Kent